

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 have been rejected. Claims 3 - 5 and 10 - 17 have been allowed. Claims 1, 2 and 6 – 8 have been rejected. Claim 9 has been amended to satisfy the Examiner's rejection. No new matter has been added.

The Applicant respectfully requests that this response to a final rejected be entered as all substantive rejections have been removed and all claims now pending have been indicated as being allowed or allowable by the Examiner.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Woods. Claims 1, 2 and 8 have been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Woods in view of Ballas et al. Claim 6 has been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Woods in view of Nakamura et al. Claim 7 has been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. §112 but indicated that claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection. Claim 9 has been amended to overcome the §112 rejection.

All claims now in the application are deemed patentably distinguishable over the art applied and noted, but not relied upon. Accordingly, allowance of the application is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Kimberly A. Chasteen  
Reg. No. 36,755

July 12, 2004  
(757) 249-5100  
Williams Mullen  
721 Lakefront Commons, Suite 200  
Newport News, VA 23606

#456370 v1 - resp to OA #2 - automatic line feed device